

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,164	07/02/2001	Eric C. Haseltine	530057-319	2603
46560 THE WALT D	7590 02/27/200 VISNEY COMPANY	EXAMINER		
C/O GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
	ADO AVENUE SUITE ICA, CA 90404	400E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3622	
				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/898,164	HASELTINE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Donald L. Champagne	3622	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status	· ·		•	
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13,38-46 and 51-57 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13,38-46 and 51-57 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
. 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment	• •			
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>30 Nov 06</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te ; atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/898.164

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 30 November 2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the following new basis of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-11, 38-42 and 46</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mankovitz et al. (US005500681A).
- 5. <u>Mankovitz et al. teaches</u> (independent claims 1, 38 and 46) a method and system to receive information/advertising and for marketing to consumers, the method comprising:

providing a programming broadcast signal (*television transmission signals*) to a broadcast receiving appliance (*controller* **12**) and providing a token (*VBI data*) with the programming broadcast signal (col. 3 lines 44-48 and col. 2 lines 24-26);

displaying the television programming to the user (col. 3 lines 44-46) and radiating the token to the *portable electronic coupon* (col. 3 lines 44-49), which reads radiating an audio signal or video display including the token with the programming to a user from the broadcast receiving appliance;

Art Unit: 3622

providing a token capture device (*portable electronic coupon*) to the user configured to receive the token during the radiating of the programming (col. 3 lines 47-54); and providing an incentive to a user (*discounts on merchandise or services*, col. 5 lines 26-

For claim 46, Mankovitz et al. also teaches an audio token (col. 1 lines 16-18 and 46-56 and col. 5 line 62).

29) for using the token capture device/portable electronic coupon to receive the token.

- 6. Mankovitz et al. also teaches at the citations given above claims 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 41, 42 and 46. Mankovitz et al. also teaches claims 3 (col. 1 lines 18-19), 7 (col. 3 lines 50-54) and 10, 39 and 40 (col. 8 lines 35-41, where a *discount* or any other benefit reads on a "prize").
- 7. <u>Claims 5, 8 and 43-45</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mankovitz et al. (US005500681A).
- 8. Mankovitz et al. does not teach a light token (claim 5), which is interpreted as visible light. A light token would be obvious because light signals were an early form of TV remote control. Mankovitz et al. does not teach radio broadcast (claims 8 and 44). Radio broadcast would be obvious because Mankovitz et al. does teach an audio token (para. 5 above). Mankovitz et al. does not teach (claims 43 and 45) that the broadcast signal comprises motion picture film or computer software. The former is obvious as the full motion video commonly broadcast in retail venues, and the latter is obvious as executable programming broadcast in the same venues.
- 9. Claims 12, 13 and 51-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mankovitz et al. (US005500681A) in view of Clarke (US005502636A).
- 10. Mankovitz et al. does not teach (independent claim 51) providing a registration venue, gathering consumer information at said venue, entering the information in a database, and preparing promotional material based on the token information and consumer information in the database. Clarke teaches providing a registration venue, gathering consumer information at said venue and entering the information in a database (profile database 24, col. 2 lines 48-51). Clarke also teaches using the coupon redemption data consumer data to improve product marketing (col. 3 lines 1-8), which reads on preparing promotional material based on the token information and consumer information in the database.

 Because Clarke teaches that it is desirable to target and track certain audiences (col. 1 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/898,164

Art Unit: 3622

- 21-28), and <u>because</u> this personal targeting improves reduces fraud (col. 3 lines 11-13), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Clarke to those of Mankovitz et al.
- 11. Clarke also teaches claims 12, 13 and 52-57 at the citations given above.
- 12. <u>Neither reference teaches</u> preparing promotional information based, at least in part, on the information in the database which reads on preparing promotional based, at least in part, on the information in the database.

Conclusion

- 13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30 AM to 8 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717. The fax phone number for all formal matters is 571-273-8300.
- 16. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 571-272-6724.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

Art Unit: 3622

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- 18. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
- Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 20. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

10 February 2007

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER